UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,381	11/28/2005	Yusuke Nakamura	082368-002810US	8925
	7590 10/06/200 AND TOWNSEND AN		EXAM	IINER
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	-	RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L	
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	ior ISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/529,381	NAKAMURA ET	AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings	1643	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Stephen L. Rawlings</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Carol Johns</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>01 October 2008</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1 and 6			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Stephen L. Rawlings/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed entry of an examiner's amendment, which would place this application in condition for allowance, particularly in view of the disclosure at page 10, lines 10 and 11, of the specification; Ms. Johns was unable to contact the Applicant for approval to authorize entry of the proposed amendment. Notably, the proposed amendment would have corrected the deficiency of earlier filed amendments; however, since an office action must be completed this day, the Examiner indicated that a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment would be prepared and mailed in order to address the deficiency of the previously filed amendment.